## Do journalists have to say they are journalists?

blogs.lse.ac.uk/polis/2008/06/09/do-journalists-have-to-say-they-are-journalists/

2008-6-9

At the tail end of Hillary's failing campaign Bill put his foot in it again with some intemperate remarks about a journalist who had written a nasty article about his missus. The remarks only got reported because he made them to a 'citizen reporter' for the Huffington Post (a liberal political website).

Jay Rosen of New York University has written a very thorough and balanced article on the ethical dilemma raised When Mayhill Fowler Met Bill Clinton at the Rope Line. The HuffPost reporter Mayhill Fowler was one of a number of 'amateurs' recruited to cover the election in a different way to 'mainstream media'. The Huffpost article is entertaining and reveals something about the nervousness of the Clinton campaign. It was a good example of Networked Journalism that fed in to mainstream media coverage.

But Fowler only got her story because Bill Clinton obviously thought she was just a member of the public. She didn't say she was a reporter. You can listen to the whole exchange on the HuffPost. His tone was very much that of a cross politician talking to someone he thought was a supporter. Rosen points out that Fowler's language was that of a supporter not an 'impartial' observer.

Does that matter? My basic position is:

- 1. Anything that is said in public by anyone to anyone is fair game.
- 2. What ethics there are apply equally to all reporters, citizen or otherwise.
- 3. If you use dishonest techniques you may get a story but both politicians and public will trust you less in the future.

I think *HuffPost* were right to publish but their reporter has not covered herself in glory. She admits that. However, I have seen far worse deceit from 'professional' hacks. But judge for yourself by reading Rosen's article.

Thanks to Tim Watts for spotting that.

Copyright © 2014 London School of Economics and Political Science